

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			.t	,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/533,361	03/22/2000	Beatrice Toumi	6388-0501-0	9261	
759	06/03/2002		* op		
Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier & Neustadt PC			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Fourth Floor 1755 Jefferson D	Davis Highway		WELLS, LA	AUREN Q	
Arlington, VA	22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	<b>5</b> .		1617. DATE MAILED: 06/03/2002	17	
				V.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		۵	
٠ - ١	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/533,361	TOUMI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Lauren Q Wells	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	
THE REPLY FILED 08 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of the ir: (1) a timely filed amendm ippeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	n
PERIOD FOI	R REPLY [check either a) o	. p)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the r b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the control of the	this Advisory Action, or (2) the data price later than SIX MONTHS from WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MON. The date on which the petition uperiod of extension and the corresponde of the shortened statutory period of the content of the shortened statutory period of the shortened statutory	the mailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See Moder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate anding amount of the fee. The appropriate d for reply originally set in the final Office	MPEP e extension e extension action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08-May 2002</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require f		search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see No.	•		مطلا مست
<ul><li>(c)</li></ul>	ion in better form for appea	by materially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without call NOTE:	nceling a corresponding nu	nber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amer	ndment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT plac	ce the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were new	⁄ly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim			n
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,11-22 and 24-26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) the compounds recited in proposed claim 21 are new and would require further search and consideration; b) the phrase "allowing said composition to remain on the skin after said applying, thereby forming a film" in newly proposed claims 27-29 is a new limitation that would require further search and consideration; c) the 102(b), 103(a) and 112 rejections are maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed December 21, 2001, Paper No. 12..